

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N			
10/824,873	04/15/2004	Shannon V. Davidson	064747.1016 7114			
45507 BAKER BOT	7590 02/26/200 TS LI P	9	EXAMINER			
2001 ROSS A		GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J				
6TH FLOOR DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2445			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/26/2009	EL ECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail3@bakerbotts.com PTOmail4@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Br	ief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/824,873	DAVIDSON, SHANNON V.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2445			

	WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2445			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application and timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. If checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two month:	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			appeal. Since a		
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37 (	CFR 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause		
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>		E Delow);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		li raja a ar ajaantifi da a st	an language for		
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		- phant / unionalition (			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	orabio ii dabiiittoa iii a doparatoj t	mony mod amonamo	it our rooming tire		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and a showing a showing of good and a showing a					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
A - Applicant argues "Haynes fail to describe a card and					
A - Haynes discloses a plurality of processors connected to the card as being numbered consecutively [Haynes, section 3, lines 30-32] and at least also, communication paths between processors in the blue job traversed switches that were also used for					

communication by other jobs [Haynes, section 6, lines 118-120, last paragraph before section 7]. Haynes shows that there can be

a plurility of processors along with a plurality of ports.

12.	Ш	Note the	attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s).	
12		Othor:							

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090219